

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/973,590	10/09/2001	Josef Gottling	4100-273	6147	
75	590 11/16/2004	EXAM	EXAMINER		
COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE			EVANS, ANDREA HENCE		
Suite 1210 551 Fifth Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New York, NY 10176			2854		

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	
	Office Action Comment	09/973,59	09/973,590 GOTTLING ET AL.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit	1
		Andrea H.		2854	Pr
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	9SS
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streeply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no eve I. In reply within the statu Iriod will apply and will Iatute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) day I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status					
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	7 August 2004.			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) 1	This action is no	on-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und	· ·			erits is
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5) <u></u> 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 and 17 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-15 and 17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from cor			
Applicat	ion Papers				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on 10 April 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the contraction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	: a)⊠ accepte the drawing(s) b rrection is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bussee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have bee nents have bee priority docume reau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National St	age
Attachmen			4 □ Interior 2	(DTO 442)	
	ee of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948))	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB er No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		52)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1,2,4,6, 8,10,12, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gelbart (6186068) in view of Petersen (6085650) and in view of Dauer et al (6397743).

Referring to claim 1, Gelbart teaches an apparatus for producing printing plates, comprising: a frame(2) arranged as a stand-alone structure external to a printing machine, a mounting (5) arranged in said frame; a carrier cylinder (1) having a first end and a second end, a motor for driving said carrier cylinder (See Column 3, lines 9-10); and an image setting device (6) moveable along said carrier cylinder for setting an image on a blank printing plate (3) arranged on said carrier cylinder (1), said second end of said carrier cylinder being is freely accessible to permit printing plate change on said carrier cylinder. (See Column 2, lines 50-54), said mounting and said image sitting device being arranged on an upper surface of said frame (See Figure 1).

Gelbart does not teach said carrier cylinder being cantilever mountable at an image setting position on said mounting via said first end of said carrier cylinder.

Petersen teaches a cylinder being cantilever mountable at an image setting position on a mounting via the first end of the cylinder (Column 4, lines 48-51; See Figure 3). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the mounting of the carrier cylinder of Gelbart such that it is cantilever

Application/Control Number: 09/973,590

Art Unit: 2854

mountable in order to provide a simple stand design while preserving format variability and providing easy access to the cylinders as taught by Petersen.

Gelbart and Petersen do not teach wherein said carrier cylinder is replaceable by a further carrier cylinder having a different diameter said image setting device being movable radially relative to a longitudinal axis of said carrier cylinder for accommodating the different diameters of said carrier cylinder and the further carrier cylinder. Dauer teaches a carrier cylinder that is replaceable by a further carrier cylinder having a different diameter said image setting device being movable radially relative to a longitudinal axis of said carrier cylinder for accommodating the different diameters of said carrier cylinder and the further carrier cylinder. (See Column 3, lines 19-30). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Gelbart and Petersen such that carrier cylinder that is replaceable by a further carrier cylinder having a different diameter said image setting device being movable radially relative to a longitudinal axis of said carrier cylinder for accommodating the different diameters of said carrier cylinder and the further carrier cylinder in order to allow that the cylinders of varying sizes can be changed readily as taught by Dauer.

Referring to claim 2, Gelbart teaches all that is claimed as discussed above except the apparatus wherein said mounting includes a carrying tube fixed in said frame and a spindle mounted in said carrying tube, said spindle being connected to said carrier cylinder and said motor being arranged in said carrying tube and having a drive connection to said spindle. Petersen teaches a carrying tube fixed in said frame and a spindle mounted in said carrying tube, said spindle being connected to said carrier

cylinder and said motor being arranged in said carrying tube and having a drive connection to said spindle (Column 3, lines 4-8.) It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Gelbart such that it includes a carrying tube fixed in said frame and a spindle mounted in said carrying tube, said spindle being connected to said carrier cylinder and said motor being arranged in said carrying tube and having a drive connection to said spindle to provide a compact drive for the cylinders as taught by Petersen.

Referring to claim 4, Gelbert teaches the apparatus, wherein said carrier cylinder (1) is operatively arranged for receiving a sleeve-like printing plate (3) that can be clamped onto said carrier cylinder, said carrier cylinder having holes arranged in a cover thereof for blowing compressed air against a printing plate inner wall incident printing plate change (See Column 2, lines 63-66).

Referring to claim 6, Gelbert and Petersen teach all that is claimed except they do not teach that the carrier cylinder is one of plural cylinders of different diameters which are mountable at an image setting position. Dauer teaches that the cylinder can vary in diameter. (See Column 3, lines 25-30). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Gelbert and Petersen by including cylinders with multiple diameters in order to provide a printing device that can print on any size cylinders as taught by Dauer.

Referring to claim 8, Gelbert teaches an intermediate sleeve (See intermediate layer on (3)).

Application/Control Number: 09/973,590

Art Unit: 2854

Referring to claim 10, Gelbert teaches the apparatus, wherein said carrier cylinder is operative for receiving one of an offset printing plate, a letterpress printing plate, a flexographic printing plate, and a gravure printing plate. (See Column 1, lines 10-13).

Referring to claim 12, Gelbert teaches the apparatus, further comprising a crossmember (See Figure 1, (7)) arranged in said frame parallel to an axis of rotation of said carrier cylinder, said image setting device being moveable on said crossmember.

Referring to claim 17, Gelbert teaches the apparatus wherein said upper surface of said frame is a planar surface (See Figure 1).

3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Gelbert in view of Petersen in view of Dauer and in further view of Kersch et al (6,186,065). Gelbert, Petersen and Dauer teach all that is claimed above, except Gelbert does not teach a said motor fixed in said frame and said carrier includes a journal. Petersen teaches a said motor fixed in said frame and said carrier includes a journal (See Column 4, lines 12-15). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to additionally modify Gelbert by including a motor fixed in the frame and a carrier including a journal as taught by Petersen to provide an efficient drive.

Gelbert,Petersen and Dauer teach all that is claimed above, except they do not teach the apparatus further comprising an external flexible belt drive connecting said motor to the journal. Kersch teaches an external flexible belt drive (See Column 3, line 65 – Column 4, line 4)). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the drive as taught by Petersen with an external flexible belt drive as claimed to aid in driving the cylinder as taught by Kersch.

4. Claims 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gelbert in view of Petersen (6085650) in view of Dauer and further in view of Vermeersch (6030750).

Referring to claim 5, Gelbert, Petersen and Dauer together teach all that is claimed as discussed in the above rejections except for the carrier cylinder including a clamping device for clamping a printing plate onto said carrier cylinder. Vermeersch teaches the carrier cylinder (50) including a clamping device (See Column 9, lines 9-11) for clamping a printing plate (55) onto said carrier cylinder. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify Gelbert such that the carrier cylinder includes a clamping device for clamping a printing plate onto said carrier cylinder to provide a stable and suitable connection as taught by Vermeersch.

Referring to claim 7, Gelbert and Petersen teach all that is claimed except they do not teach that the carrier cylinder is one of plural cylinders of different diameters which are mountable at an image setting position. Dauer teaches that the cylinder can vary in diameter. (See Column 3, lines 25-30). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Gelbert and Petersen by including cylinders with multiple diameters in order to provide a printing device that can print on any size cylinders as taught by Dauer.

5. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gelbert in view of Petersen (6085650) and in view of Dauer and further in view of Fantoni (5188027).

Referring to claim 9, Gelbert, Petersen and Dauer teach all that is claimed except they do not teach that the carrier cylinder is operative for selectively receiving printing plates of different diameters. Fantoni teaches that the cylinder is operative for selectively receiving printing plates of different diameters (See Column 2, lines 9-12). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Gelbert and Petersen such that the carrier cylinder can receive plates of different diameters in order to afford imaging on multiple size plates as taught by Fantoni.

6. Claims 11 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gelbert in view of Petersen (6085650) in view of Dauer and further in view of Fleischmann (6070528).

Referring to claim 11, Gelbert, Petersen and Dauer teach all that is claimed as discussed in the above rejections but they do not teach wherein a surface of said carrier cylinder comprises said gravure printing plate. Fleischmann teaches use of a gravure printing plate (1). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Gelbert, Petersen and Duaer by including a gravure printing plate as claimed to allow gravure printing such that printing elements are more deeply inlaid than the printing form surface as taught by Fleischmann.

Referring to claim 13, Gelbert, Petersen and Dauer teach all that is claimed as discussed in the above rejections except they do not teach an erasing device settable against the carrier cylinder. Fleischmann teaches an erasing device settable against the carrier cylinder (See Figure 1D). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Gelbert, Petersen and Dauer by

Fleischmann.

including an erasing device as claimed to allow reuse of the gravure form as taught by

Referring to claim 14, Gelbert, Petersen and Dauer teach all that is claimed, as discussed in the above rejections, except they do not teach a fixing device settable against said carrier cylinder. Fleischmann teaches a fixing device settable against the carrier cylinder (Column 2, lines 43-46). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Gelbert, Petersen and Dauer

by including a fixing device as claimed to aid in the accuracy of the image position as

taught by Fleischmann.

Referring to claim 15, Gelbert, Petersen and Dauer teach all that is claimed, as discussed in the above rejections, except they do not teach a layer applicator device settable against carrier cylinder. Fleischmann teaches a layer applicator device settable against the carrier cylinder (See Figure 1A). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Gelbert, Petersen and Dauer by including a layer applicator device as claimed to fill depressions evenly as taught by Fleischmann.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 and 17 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrea H. Evans whose telephone number is (571) 272-2162. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday; 8:30a-5:30p.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld can be reached on (571) 272-2168. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/973,590 Page 10

Art Unit: 2854

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrea H. Evans

AHE

ANDREW H. HIRSHFELD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800